

PLANNING TO MEET CHALLENGES IN SHRINKING RURAL REGIONS. TOWARDS INNOVATIVE APPROACHES TO LOCAL PLANNING

Aksel Hagen¹, Ulla Higdem², Kjell Overvåg³

¹ Associate professor in planning at the Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences, Aksel.hagen@inn.no

² Professor in planning at the Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences, Ulla.higdem@inn.no

³ Professor in regional development and geography at the University of Agder, Norway. Kjell.overvaag@uia.no

1. INTRODUCTION

Norway's population is increasing, but rural municipalities all over Norway are undergoing depopulation. Of the most sparsely populated municipalities, 75% have had shrinking populations in the last 20 years, often with residents scattered over a large area. In the year of 2019, 71% of all Norwegian municipalities experienced a decline. These demographic developments are expected to continue in the coming decades (NOU 2020:15). Although policy-making and planning have long focused on counteracting these demographic trends, governmental studies show that only massive immigration or a sharp increase in fertility rates can alter this course (NOU 2020:15). For most municipalities, the likelihood of a reversal is very low, both currently and in the near future.

In Innlandet, which is the Norwegian case studied in this paper, 31 of 46 municipalities have had a population decline in the last 30 years (in the period 1991-2021), meaning that they have a lower population number in 2021 than in 1991. The decline varies considerably, from -30 to -1% (see table 1). Some municipalities, especially in the eastern and northern part of Innlandet (figure 3), have had an almost continually decline year by year, while others have years in between with small increase in population numbers. The yearly fluctuations in population development are quite strongly influenced by immigration of refugees to Norway, while the natural population change

(births, deaths) has a more stable and declining development trend. The decline in population numbers is in the long run expected to continue. The age composition has changed and will keep changing to a significantly older population. This situation has recently been discussed in the demography committee's report (NOU, 2020: 15). They argue that the political goals for the districts should not be growth, but to create good communities for those who live and/or run a business there.

Norway has a long tradition of research and policies on rural areas (e.g., Teigen, 2000, 2019), including the local development, planning, and development of rural services (Aasbrenn, 1990; Bråtå et al., 2016). Already in 1990, Aasbrenn termed the situation "the thinning-out society". However, no major research and development projects on local planning, including the role of politicians and political parties, in shrinking rural regions in Norway have been undertaken.

Our contribution is a first step in giving this topic scholarly attention by addressing a rarely asked question in the literature on most rural municipalities.

To what extent is current demographic development reflected in current planning and policy practice in Innlandet? Is there a focus on strategies and measures for population growth? To what extent do politicians and planners think we should change the way we plan based on the expected demographic changes?

The empirical basis for this discussion is data from an initial study of the situation in Innlandet County, Norway, financed by the Regional Research Fund Innlandet. The aim of the initial study is to gain a better understanding of today's planning practice in local and regional development in municipalities and counties, where shrinking is evident. This smaller study is part of the development of a national research and co-operation project to be financed by local municipalities, the regional council, and national and international research institutions.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, the theoretical perspectives of planning related to shrinking rural societies are addressed. The case of Innlandet County, the planning context and the institutional framework for planning are then presented in Section 3. Our arguments for using qualitative mixed methods are presented thereafter, we provide an overview of the data in Section 4, and the discussion of the findings subsequently. Finally, we conclude by adding a fuzzier and hybrid adaptation strategy to the existing literature, and the need to develop theories, concepts, and models for more suitable knowledge-based and innovative local planning in shrinking societies.

2. THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES ON SHRINKING

Beauregard (in Sousa & Pinho 2015) argues that shrinking is a “stigma” that is at odds with the ideals of decision-makers? Further, they underline that current theories and policies may lead to the impression that societies are “doomed” if their populations are not growing. In the literature, there is broad agreement that growth-oriented planning, which disregards the data and insists on unrealistic ideas about growth, has hindered the development of other proactive strategies for dealing with the decline (Lang, 2012,; Sysner 2020). Municipalities and municipal master planning thus fail to recognize the potential possibilities and alternative solutions arising from strategically local master planning with a holistic perspective and a focus on smart and sustainable shrinking (Sysner, 2020). Therefore, current strategies, means, and measures often will be irrelevant. Municipalities may waste scarce resources on unrealistic policies aimed at attracting new residents in competition with other municipalities, consequently disregarding alternatives recognizing shrinkage (Sousa & Pinho 2015; Leick & Lang, 2018; Sysner, 2020).

“Shrinkage” has long been on the international research agenda, mostly with a focus on shrinking cities in North America and in parts of continental Europe (see, e.g., Gans, 1975; Hollander et al., 2009). For rural and peripheral areas, there has been a small but growing body of research on planning in shrinking regions. This research has partly been based on projects aiming to develop approaches for planning in shrinking regions, for example, in Germany (Küpper et al. 2018). What has been the reaction to shrinking in policies and planning? Beetz, Huning and Plieninger (2008) did a study in North-Eastern Germany’s countryside, and revealed four diverging positions: 1) to open up to alternative lifestyles, which accept a lower standard for quality of life as well as other decreasing welfare services;; 2) improvement of competitiveness;; 3) “passive restructuring”, which assumes that people will continue to out-migrate anyway;; and 4) a position that one must not give up the welfare system but keep it as an indispensable good, through support shared between strong and weak regions. Hospers (2014) has, in a similar way, identified four policy responses to shrinking in Europe. He discusses urban shrinkage in general, but we find it relevant for our study: 1) trivializing, where shrinkage is overlooked and denied;; 2) countering shrinkage, with policies directed at attracting new people and businesses to resolve the problem of shrinkage;; 3) accepting shrinkage, and adapting the content of policies to mitigate the effects of shrinkage, improving the quality of life for the current population;; and 4) utilizing shrinkage, where the approach is that quality of life does not necessarily depend on population density, and tries to take advantage of it. For rural areas in Northern Europe, Sysner (2020) finds that there is a general unwillingness among planners and politicians to face the consequences of shrinkage, which can be seen in connection to Hospers’ (2014) response of

“trivializing” shrinkage in cities. In contrast, Sousa & Pinho (2015), discussing shrinking in Europe in general, find that the most common response in planning is to keep a strategy for economic growth with a goal of resuming population growth, like Beetz et al. (2008) “improvement of competitiveness” and Hospers (2014) “countering shrinkage”. Sousa & Pinho (2015) say, further, that this strategy normally fails, whereas both Hospers (2014) and Sysner (2020) state that it seems that an approach of acceptance and adaptation is the most suitable strategy to address shrinkage.

Our review of the research on shrinkage leads us to conclude that it has succeeded in revealing the challenges for planning in shrinking regions and contributed to increasing awareness of this issue, but it has also discovered a general unwillingness among planners and politicians

to face the consequences of shrinkage (Sysner 2020). There have been some interesting contributions to new theoretical and practical approaches (see, e.g., papers from the special issue of European Planning Studies on “Re-thinking non-core regions: Planning strategies and practice beyond growth” (Leick & Lang 2018)). Nonetheless, the need for the further development of theories, concepts, and models, as well as approaches to planning in shrinking regions, is pressing. Researchers such as Sousa and Pinho (2018) state that there is no theory on planning for shrinkage, and that the literature is unclear and confusing. This is particularly so for rural and peripheral regions (Sysner 2020).

From the applied perspective, ESPON (2020:31), for example, calls for “... practical guidance and support for local action, across a wide menu of interventions, [to] increase its potential for real changes”, and that approaches for shrinking areas must be based on evidence and reflect an analysis of pathways to shrinkage. ESPON (2020:31) emphasizes the need for a policy for shrinking rural areas that “... reflect(s) broader societal objectives than economic growth, such as inclusion, spatial justice, and wellbeing, and support a Just Transition – towards a sustainable society”. In Norway, this issue is highly relevant because local (municipal) planning is fundamental, because the municipalities have extensive responsibilities within their territory, such as welfare services, education, infrastructure, and societal development.

Hagen and Higdem (2019, 2020a) stress the need for innovation in planning, including policy development, to address the issues arising in shrinking rural societies. This also calls for innovation in planning processes, methods, and models (Betts et al., 2008), where co-creation between public, private, NGOs, and other actors is vital for collaborative innovation (Torfing et al., 2016).

3. Case and planning context

The county of Innlandet is in the south-eastern part of Norway (Figure 1). The population numbers about 371 000, and the density is 7 persons per km² (compared with 15 for Norway). There are some small cities in the county, the three largest being Hamar (29 000), Gjøvik and Lillehammer (both about 21 000 inhabitants) (Figure 2). The population is to a large degree, and increasingly, settled in these cities and other smaller settlements, making much of Innlandet a peripheral region in a permanent population perspective. It is, however,

attractive for second homes, with about 90 000 second homes, mostly located in the mountain areas and in municipalities with small population numbers (Statistics Norway, 2022). Most of the second homeowners come from outside the county, mostly the Oslofjord area. Other important industries are agriculture, forestry, tourism, and some branches of production of goods.

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 2

The population of Innlandet has increased by 4% in the last 30 years. The development has, however, been highly uneven among the 46 municipalities, with the population decreasing for 31 and increasing for the other 15, with changes ranging from -30% to +24% (Figure 3 and Table 1). The general picture is that the large are getting larger and the smallest smaller. It is those 31 municipalities with a long-term decrease in population that are under study in this paper. It is also in these municipalities that an ageing population is experienced most strongly and earliest. Estimates for future population development in Innlandet are subject to great uncertainty, caused in part by international and national migration. Statistics Norway (SSB) estimates that the decline will continue until 2040 in 20 of the 31 municipalities, whereas another institute has in general criticized the estimates from SSB as being too optimistic for the most peripheral municipalities (Vareide, 2021). The likelihood of a significant break in the population trend in these municipalities seems to be low.

TABLE 1

In the planning system in Norway, responsibilities are divided and shared between the three levels of government: national, regional (the counties) and local (the municipalities).

Municipalities have extensive responsibilities within their territory, such as welfare services, primary schools, infrastructure (local roads, water, sewage etc.), land-use planning and societal development. The 356 municipalities of Norway are all political-administrative entities with equal status as autonomous bodies, grounded on a principle of municipal self-government. The municipalities are required to plan for societal development (comprehensive planning) as well as the municipality's organization of public services (PBA 2008).

The municipal council itself directs the planning process (§3-3). Municipal master planning for societal development in Norway is a strategic policy-making activity embedded in a multi-level democratic system (Hanssen Sandkjær et al., 2018). The planning serves as a common arena for public, private, and citizen actors to voice their interests, and the municipal council makes the final decisions. The purpose of planning is to “promote sustainable development in the best interests of individuals, society and future generations” (§1-1). The municipality is responsible for formulating strategy and policy for local development that is holistic and sensitive to the local context, which is embedded in the municipal master plan (§ 11-1). The master plan is based on the municipal planning strategy (§ 10-1), which is to; “comprise a discussion of the municipality's strategic choices related to social development, including long-term land use, environmental challenges, sector activities and an assessment of the municipality's planning needs during the electoral term“. Through the measure of a Planning strategy, the Planning and Building Act of 2008 therefore provides a strong platform for municipalities' strategic policy-making. Further, the political parties have a long practice of developing party programmes that deal with community development. Sometimes there may be some form of interaction between party programme work and planning.

4. METHOD

The research was carried out in the winter and spring of 2021, as a part of an initial project called “Realistic Planning”¹. The study consists of the 31 municipalities in the Inland County with a long-term decrease in population (Figure 3 and Table 1). The data consist of i) a document study where we reviewed the societal part of the municipal plan and the planning strategy for all 31 shrinking municipalities. The societal part of the municipal plan should, according to the planning and building act (PBA 2008), “decide on long-term challenges, goals and strategies for the municipal community as a whole and the municipality as an organization” (§11-2, own translation). It thus includes both goals and strategies for

the whole society (for example related to the development of jobs and demography), and for the more specific services and tasks for which they are responsible

(for example primary schools and elderly care). The planning strategy is, simply put, a plan for what plans the municipality needs to develop or update for the next four years.

According to the law this strategy “should include a discussion of the municipality’s strategic choices related to community development, including long-term land use, environmental challenges, the sectors’ activities and an assessment of the municipality’s planning needs during the election period” (§10-1, own translation). We also see that the plan includes both the community perspective and the more specific perspectives of the service sectors. Concerning the latter, what is included in both these documents is often excerpts of challenges, goals and strategies taken from separate planning documents related to the different sectors or from written input to the documents from the sectors’ administration.

We have also reviewed Innlandet County’s regional planning strategy and regional political programmes. In addition, we have assessed the local political party programmes in six of those 31 municipalities. Finally, we have reviewed Innlandet County’s regional planning strategy and regional political programmes. li) We have conducted four workshops, two with politicians and planners in Innlandet County and the others with the municipal executive board in two municipalities. The experiences from the workshops were subsequently analysed with Nvivo. lii) Finally, we have conducted 10 semi-structured interviews with top politicians at regional and local levels, i.e. mayors, county mayor and central party politicians, also analysed with Nvivo.

This mixed set of qualitative methods provides for an inductive approach and analysis (Stake, 2000) based on several aspects of planning practices, including politicians’ understanding, local policy-making in party programmes, interviews with leading politicians, and of course the planning documents describing today’s situation and future perspectives. From our position, the politicians form an understanding of the range of possibilities and hence, the framework for the planning activities.

We have restricted the analysed planning documents to the societal part of the municipal master plans, and the planning strategies. Hence, a total assessment of all types of municipal plans including plans for separate themes or sectors, would have given a broader and more comprehensive study. A more comprehensive study would also include more interviews with planners, politicians and other actors involved in planning at different levels, especially at the municipal

level.

The inductive approach of the analysis, and the questions we asked our data, is based on our research questions: a) to what extent is current demographic development reflected in current planning and policy practice, and b) is there a focus on strategies and measures for population growth, and c) to what extent do politicians and planners think we should change the way we plan based on the expected demographic changes?

:

5. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Municipal planning strategies and the societal part of the municipal plan

In Table 2, we have summarized our findings from analysing the planning strategies and municipal plans for the 31 municipalities in Innlandet under study. Based on our research questions, and inspired by earlier research on policy and planning responses to shrinkage, we have 105ommune105ter each municipality as to whether these documents show that they have: a) goals/visions for population growth (either as a general goal/vision or an underlying goal below in the goal hierarchy) , b) goals for stabilizing population number, c) explicit emphasis on the existing population, and d) an adaptive approach (adapted towards a shrinking population) towards the provision of main services. Where these responses are clearly identifiable in the documents, it is indicated with an X, whereas in the instances where it is not so clear it is indicated with a small x in brackets. These categories emerged from theories and through the analysis of the documents. The categories are not mutually exclusive, and this will be discussed below as an important finding from this study.

TABLE 2

All municipalities include statistics and prognoses for population development, including population numbers and age composition in their plans, so this is well known, presented, and communicated. Despite this, most municipalities (20) have goals for population growth in the coming years, and a few have goals for 105ommune105ter population numbers, which is also unrealistic for most of them due to the prognosis. It is those municipalities with the strongest negative population prognosis, that, to the largest degree, have goals for population growth. Several of the municipalities with goals of population growth put a quite strong emphasis on strategies for making them more attractive, especially so they can attract young people

and families. Some of them are strongly influenced by Telemarksforskning, a Norwegian research institute whose consultants have developed what they call an “attractivity model”, with indicators for attractivity connected to settlement, industries and for visitors, and where they say that municipalities that succeed in developing their attractivity may be the “one exception Against the general trends” (Aastvedt, et al., 1999). In Søndre Land municipality, having 5. 535 inhabitants in 2021, Telemarksforskning have done an analysis in connection with the new societal part of their municipal plan. In the planning strategy Søndre Land municipality writes:

“Telemarksforskning estimates that if the municipality succeeds in improving its attractivity and the development in the country does not worsen considerably, the population number in Søndre Land can be about 5,700 inhabitants in 2040. If the attractivity in the local community is kept at about the same level as today it is estimated that the number of inhabitants will be about 4,700 in 2040 (Søndre Land kommune, 2020. Our translation).

Søndre Land municipality will follow this up by developing strategies and measures to reverse the population decline.”

Most of these municipalities do not consider or reflect on the gap between actual population development and their goals for population growth in their planning documents. However, some do, and Skjåk, with 2 165 inhabitants, is an illustrative example. In their planning strategy (approved in October 2017), they say that “Population numbers have decreased by more than four hundred persons in the last 30 years. If the development when it comes to births, mortality, in- and out-migration does not change, one must expect that it also will be a decrease in the coming years” (Skjåk 106ommune, 2017:9–10, our translation). In the same document, when they discuss their experiences with the existing societal part of the municipal plan, they state that “The main experience with this plan is that some of the goals should be adjusted to a more realistic level, among others population development.” (p. 4, our translation). In their new societal part of the municipal plan (approved in 2020), three scenarios are presented, one they call “pessimistic” with a decreasing population, one “modest” with a stable population and one “optimistic” with an increasing population. Then, it is stated that “The danger is that it is the pessimistic scenario that is realistic, but we must work towards the optimistic one”. (Skjåk 106ommune, 2020, p. 14, our translation). In explaining why, they must do this, there is a discussion in the document of the age structure and where they say that among others, due to their many years of experience with a high proportion of old people, those challenges are not necessarily dramatic for them. Then, it is stated that “But a society needs young blood to keep its vitality, and that makes it necessary for incentives for population growth. The future

strategies for the municipality must have this as one of its main goals.” (p. 10, our translation). This illustrates that despite their recognition of the need for more realistic goals, this is not followed up in the new plan. In our view, the scenario, which includes a decrease in population, is connected to so many, and only, negative societal developments (increased 107 ommune 107 ter 107 d 107 of national/regional services, empty houses and schools, etc.), compared with the two other scenarios, thus making it unacceptable for the municipality to have realistic goals for population development when scenarios are developed in such a way.

At the same time as most municipalities have population growth as a goal, most municipalities have a more adaptive and realistic approach when it comes to demographic development connected to the parts of the plans that consider main services (especially primary schools/kindergartens and healthcare). As Table 2 shows, more than half of the municipalities that have population growth as a goal, simultaneously have an adaptive approach to services. The arguments typically used are both connected to demographic prognoses for changes in population numbers and age structures in their own municipality, implying for example the need to evaluate how many schools are needed in the municipality in the coming years. But often it also refers to general trends in Norway connected to demography, especially more older people, expected tighter public finances for such services, and difficulties in recruiting personnel. This implies that there will be a strong need for innovation connected, among other factors, to making the services more efficient, use of welfare technology and more private/public/NGO co-production. For example, when Grue municipality describes challenges within health and welfare services (under the main heading “National expectations for societal and service developments”), some of their bullet points are: “be able to deliver good/good enough services within a sustainable economic ‘frame’.

This means a service delivery that is more cost-effective”; “It will be necessary for solutions where as many as possible can stay home longer,—with some need for services”; “implement welfare technology”; “have enough qualified and competent personnel for all the tasks that the municipality must solve”. (Grue municipality, 2016.:22, our translation). _

A few of the municipalities do not have goals connected to population development, neither growth nor 107 ommune 107 ter, possibly indicating a kind of 107 ommune 107 ter 107 d 107. But some of them, such as Gausdal, Sør-Aurdal and Etnedal, rather have a quite strong focus on the existing community. Hospers (2014) discusses this in connection with the response of accepting shrinkage. Having said that, it is important to stress that all municipalities, including those with population growth as a goal (often one of many goals), of course, deal with the existing community, and not only about potential in-migration etc. For example, in Gausdal the vision is “Together we make it happen”,

and they will work together with inhabitants, local industry and NGOs, among others on the following areas: good everyday lives, a close and active local community, a greener municipality, public safety and preparedness, sustainable land use and sustainable economy. Connected to “a close and active local community” it is, among other things, stated that “We want Gausdal to be a local community where inhabitants of all ages participate, take joint responsibility and experience inclusion. A sustainable local community we best create and develop together”. (Gausdal municipality, 2019).

Party programmes vs planning—dilemmas

We have assessed party programmes in six municipalities with population decline and expected population decline within centrality classes 4, 5 and 6. Overall, the programmes give the impression of great political commitment to their municipality.

Population development and settlement pattern are given attention in one or more of the party programmes in 5 of 6 municipalities. Most programmes signal an ambition to maintain population and secure settlement. Some programmes are clearly concerned about the importance of reversing a shrinking population trend. Many programmes are mainly concerned with what contributes to the municipality becoming a good society to live in, as a permanent resident and as a cottage (leisure home) resident.

We found no party programme that clearly provided a realistic planning perspective for population development. At the same time, in the one municipality that did not directly mention population development, the political programmes were clearly offensive regarding societal development.

The number of political parties in these six municipalities varies between 6 and 2. The material is too small to say anything about any differences between the various political parties. Many of the party programmes report that population development is simultaneously an important and difficult topic. The programmes vary in length and thoroughness. Many of these parties are small, run by only a few people. Together, they cover many different topics or factors that the individual political party believes are important for population development. There are topics such as the conditions for various types of business, labour market, housing, housing plots, cottages (leisure homes), welfare services, kindergartens, schools, municipal employer policy, internet capacity, transport, recreation, nature and environmental protection, sports and culture, and voluntary organizational life.

Local and regional politicians and planners

All informants agree on the need for developing a new planning practice that addresses shrinkage. All the politicians we interviewed, except one, thought that one should have a more realistic approach to population development. This one thought it was both politically desirable and even possible to reverse the decline in growth. However, there are different assessments in predictable political (ideological) directions, on whether the rural municipalities, the shrinking regions, should or ought to plan to have a decreasing population as the planning horizon. Although there are variations, the main position among politicians is that, to a certain degree, is a result of a conscious government policy aiming at. However, there were differing views, somewhat depending on party affiliation, about the extent to which national policy had a decisive impact on population development.

For politicians, it is challenging to plan within a framework having a declining population as the realistic result. The explanation is that such a framework or planning will be regarded as the parties' primary preferred goals and visions for the future, meaning of services, downscaling of activities and reduction of the number of schools and, not least, depopulation. One top politician states: "A party programme has a long horizon containing visions, ambitions and dreams. Planning may not to a similar degree be based on dreams, it is much more concrete. The recipe for success is when these two perspectives can meet, that is the refraction-point between realistic plans and visions, ambitions and dreams".

Unsurprisingly, the interviewed planning officials hope for and recommend a more realistic approach to planning than the politicians.

Most informants agree that the planning focus should change from population growth to giving attention to citizens living and working in the rural municipalities and counties. Several of the rural municipalities in the Inland have more holiday homes than inhabitants (ssb.no). This means tourism plays a major role in business and job opportunities. It also implies that the number of inhabitants varies greatly, for example during holidays. Most informants are positive about holiday homes and the possibilities the part-time inhabitants create for local and regional societal development.

6. DISCUSSION

Our study illustrates how challenging it is for shrinking municipalities to break with established practices and modes of policy development into a more sustainable and non-growth or de-growth position. The "stigma" of shrinking (Sousa & Pinho, 2015) is certainly at odds with the ideals of the

local politicians. At least when we notice how politicians formulate themselves orally in debates and in writing e.g., plans and party programmes. The stigma manifests itself as a political comprehension of defeat and negative downward spirals if the planning horizon should be realistic in terms of a non-growing population. Such stigma will, from a political point of view, lead to a pessimistic apprehension of shrinking societies being far from attractive for inhabitants to live in or move to. The innovative potential of a realistic approach (Hagen & Higdem, 2020), where development in a non-growth situation is possible is not directly and distinctly found in the policy agendas in these municipalities.

On the one hand, our study reveals that municipalities, despite undergoing long-term shrinkage, dismiss it as a premise in their master planning, and continue to plan for growth, much like shrinking rural areas and cities in other countries. It further suggests that the response in planning and policy cannot be determined by only one type of response, but that several responses may appear simultaneously, connected to different parts of the societal (master) development plans, thus, making the plans incoherent and contradictory.

The most important of such simultaneous responses, is when the planning documents have goals for population growth as well as strategies for the adaptation of main services to a shrinking society. Such discrepancy within the planning documents is not surprising and may have several explanations. We already know that the municipalities may fail to sufficiently link together the different planning types in the planning system (Hanssen Sandkjær, & Aarsæther 2018).

Master planning is an arena where politicians steer and develop policy for societal development (pbl 2008), and the planning expertise may be neglected, as our informants suggest. Plans for public service provision, however, traditionally lean more on the planning expertise and the factual data for future dimensioning of services. Our study, therefore, suggests that there is adaptive capacity in the shrinking areas regarding services. Such adaptation may be troublesome, but economically necessary. However, a missing link to the overall master planning and societal policy development, takes realism further from policy development and the political parties. Although they are, of course, well-known facts for politicians when addressing the budget and coping with the local protesters when their small school is being closed, it does not tap into the overall policy-making. Therefore, we argue as our data suggest, that politicians do not dare to bring a realistic perspective policy-making in shrinking societies, although many of them might just want to signal and work towards a more realistic approach. In the context of varied responses to a shrinking society, it is important to note that our data suggest that municipalities also deal with the existing community, and collaborate with inhabitants, local industry, NGOs and so on, in their planning documents.

Hence, municipalities do not address the potential of in-migration as the sole success criterion even though the overall goal is population increase.

A more realistic planning position will obviously challenge ways of thinking, traditions, and economic systems where growth is regarded as a necessary as well as a desired development. It will also challenge what is politically rational practice for a politician and for a political party. Not least, a political party is dependent on gaining trust and support in elections. How can a political party and a politician achieve this even if, or precisely because, one recommends a realistic approach?

Incoherent planning responses

Municipalities in Innlandet have, as mentioned, quite varied responses to shrinkage, and in relation to responses internationally we find several similarities, but also some notable differences. In comparison to North-East Germany (Beetz et al. 2008), we find “improvement of competitiveness” to a large degree in Innlandet, and also “passive restructuring”, in Innlandet meaning adapting services to shrinkage (and often simultaneously, as discussed above). We do not find any positions where the standard and level of welfare systems and services are discussed or questioned, as Beetz et al. (2008) found in Germany. How welfare services can continue to be delivered at a high level in the future are, however, often discussed in municipalities in Innlandet, due to expected tighter finances, more old people, and lack of workforce. Why there are such differences between Germany and Norway is not under investigation in this paper. However, we can speculate that it can be connected to the national economy, and we think that even questioning the principle of equal welfare services in the whole country might be just another stigma in Norway, in the same way as 111ommune111ter shrinking in planning. Compared with what Hospers (2014) found as responses to urban shrinkage in Europe, we find three of them in municipalities in rural Innlandet, but not “utilizing shrinkage”, where one tries to take advantage of the shrinkage. As our analysis suggests, several municipalities in Innlandet may at the same time have goals for population growth (like Hospers’ “countering shrinkage”) as well as a practical and economic adaptive capacity for shrinkage towards future service provision (like Hospers’ “accepting shrinkage”). By finding several responses simultaneously, we can add this messier or hybrid response to Beetz et al.’s (2008) and Hospers’ (2014) types of responses, which might be labelled an “incoherent response”. As discussed above, we do not find this surprising due to how the Norwegian planning context, including documents, is designed. We do not know why this has not been revealed or commented upon by earlier research in other countries. It could be connected to differences in planning systems, differences in methods (what planning and policy documents have been studied), and that one has been looking for ideal types of responses.

7. CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusion is twofold. On the one hand, our study reveals that municipalities, despite undergoing long-term shrinkage, dismiss it as a premise in their master planning, and continue to plan for growth, much like rural areas in other countries.

On the other hand, our study suggests that the responses in planning and policy are quite varied, and that there are municipalities and politicians that are highly aware of that their plans and policies are unrealistic, but are confused about how to handle them, and what the alternative options for the future may be. Our study illustrates how municipalities may adapt to shrinking in their plans for public service provision and that there are some municipalities that do not focus on growth, but emphasize the current population and quality of life. This indicates that there can be cases that can be interesting to learn from in future studies.

Following Hospers (2014), we introduce a hybrid adaptation strategy.

Overall, today's planning practice in rural areas in Norway has not been able to, dared to or wanted to, relate to the most likely developments of a shrinking population. This has had vital and negative implications for these municipalities.

Consequences -

It is crucial, therefore, both to develop knowledge of why local planning in Norway disregards shrinkage as well as the consequences this has for planning and the future of rural societies, and to develop theories, concepts, and models for more suitable knowledge-based and innovative local planning that can meet this complex societal challenge.

REFERENCES

Beetz, S., Huning, S. & Plieninger (2008), Landscapes of Peripherization in North-Eastern Germany's Countryside: New Challenges for Planning Theory and Practice, *International Planning Studies*, 13:4, 295-310, DOI: 10.1080/13563470802518909. -

Bråtå, H.O., Alnes, P.K. & Lundhaug, K. (2016). Kommuners håndtering av stagnasjon eller nedgang i befolkning og sysselsetting. Lillehammer: Østlandsforskning (Øf-rapport 6/2016.).

ESPON (2020). European Shrinking Rural Areas: Challenges, Actions and Perspectives for Territorial

Governance. Final Report. Luxemburg: ESPON.

Gans, H.J. (1975). Planning for Declining and Poor Cities, *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, 41:5, 305-307. -

Gran municipality, 2018,...

Gausdal municipality 2019, Kommuneplan.

Hagen, A., & Higdem, U. (2019). Calculate, Communicate and Innovate – do we need Innovate as a third position? *Journal of Planning Literature*, 1-13. -

Hagen, A., & Higdem, U. (2020a). Calculate, Communicate and Innovate? In A. Hagen & U. Higdem (Eds.), *Innovation in Public Planning: Calculate, Communicate and Innovate* (pp.

11-33). Palgrave Macmillan. -

Hagen, A., & Higdem, U. (2020b). Innovation in Planning Theory: The Upcoming Perspective. In A. Hagen & U. Higdem (Eds.), *Innovation in Public Planning: Calculate, Communicate and Innovate* (pp. 205-2019). Palgrave Macmillan. -

Hagen, A., & Higdem, U. (2020c). Politicians' Roles in Planning: Seen or Ignored? What do We Know About Politicians' Roles in Planning? In A. Hagen & U. Higdem (Eds.), *Innovation in Public Planning: Calculate, Communicate and Innovate* (pp. 53-72). Palgrave Macmillan. -

Hanssen Sandkjær, G., Aarsæther, N., & Winge, K. N. (2018). Lovens intensjoner. In G. Hanssen Sandkjær & N. Aarsæther (Eds.), *plan- og bygningsloven 2008– fungerer 113 ommune 113 ter intensjonene?* Universitetsforlaget. -

Hanssen Sandkjær, G. and N. Aarsæther (2018). Introduksjon. *Plan- og bygningsloven. En lov for vår tid*. In G. Hanssen Sandkjær and N. Aarsæther (Eds). Oslo, Universitetsforlaget.

Higdem, U., Bråtå, H. O., & Alnes, P. K. (2019). Innovasjonsallmenningen – å samle og forvalte ressurser for innovasjon og nyskaping. In T. Ringholm & A. K. Holmen Tennås (Eds.), *Innovasjon møter 113ommune*. Cappelen Damm Akademisk.

Hollander, Pallagast, Schwarz, Popper (2009). Planning shrinking cities. In Blanco, Alberti – *Progress in Planning*, 2009.

Gert-Jan Hospers (2014) Policy Responses to Urban Shrinkage: From Growth Thinking to Civic Engagement, *European Planning Studies*, 22:7, 1507-1523, DOI: 10.1080/09654313.2013.793655
PBA 2008, Lov om planlegging og byggesaksbehandling, 2008. [The Planning-and building act].

Küpper, P., Kundolf, S., Mettenberger, T. & Tuitjer, G. (2018). Rural regeneration strategies for declining regions: trade-off between novelty and practicability, *European Planning Studies*, 26:2, 229-255.

Lang, T. (2012). Shrinkage, Metropolization and Peripheralization in East Germany. *European Planning Studies*, 20 (10), 1747-1754

Leick, B. & Lang, T. (2018). Re-thinking non-core regions: planning strategies and practices beyond growth, *European Planning Studies*, 26:2, 213-228

NOU 2020:15. (2020). Det handler om Norge. Bærekraft I hele landet. Utredning om konsekvenser av demografiutfordringer I distriktene. Kommunal- og moderniseringsdepartementet.

Overvåg, K. (2010). Second homes and maximum yield in marginal land. The re-resourcing of rural land in Norway. *European Urban and Regional Studies*.

Sousa, S. & Pinho, P. (2015). Planning for Shrinkage: Paradox or Paradigm, *European Planning Studies*, 23:1, 12-32.

Stake, R. (2000). Case studies. *Handbook of Qualitative Research*. N. K. Denzin and Y. S. Lincoln. London, Sage: 435-454.

Statistics Norway (2022, 26. April) Existing building stocks. Holiday houses, by type of building (M) 2001 – 2022. <https://www.ssb.no/en/statbank/table/03174/>

Syssner, J. (2020). *Pathways to Demographic Adaptation: Perspectives on Policy and Planning in Depopulating Areas in Northern Europe*, Springer International Publishing AG.

Syssner, J., & Meijer, M. (2020). Innovative Planning in Rural, Depopulating Areas; Conditions, Capacities and Goals. In A. Hagen & U. Higdem (Eds.), *Innovation in Public Planning. Calculate, Communicate and Innovate*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Sønderskov, M. (2019). "Innovasjonspolitikeren": betingelser for en interaktiv politikerrolle. In A. K. Holmen Tennås & T. Ringholm (Eds.), *Innovasjon møter 114ommune*. Cappelen Damm Akademisk.

Søndre Land 115ommune (2020). Kommuneplan

Sørensen, E. (2016). The Role of Elected Politicians in Collaborative Policy Innovation. In J. Torfing & P. Triantafiliou (Eds.), *Enhancing Public Innovation by Transforming Public Governance* (pp. 178 – 196). Cambridge University Press.

Teigen, H. (2000). Planlegging av bygdenorge: eit 200-års perspektiv. [Planning the rural Norway: in a 200 years perspective]. In H. Teigen (Ed.), *Bygdeutvikling: Historiske spor og framtidige vegvalg*. Tapir.

Teigen, H. (2019). *Distriktpolitikkens historie I Norge* (1 ed.). Cappelen Damm Akademisk. -

Vareide, K. (2021). Telemarksforsknings og SSBs befolkningsprognoser: Fasit 2020. <https://regionalanalyse.no/artikkel/prognose2020>

Aastvedt, A.; Magnussen, E.; Thorstensen, A.; Vareide, K. (2019). *Nye grep for en ny framtid Søndre Land 115ommune I møte med framtida*. TF-rapport nr. 481 2019

1 The project was financed by the Inland Regional Research Fund (of the Norwegian Research Council).

FIGURE AND TABLES.




Figure 1. Counties in Norway. (Source: Regjeringen.no, 19.12.2019, https://www.regjeringen.no/contentassets/8b2f4434bb024962801a18b06d4888e4/nye_fylke_r_med_navn_hoy_jpg.jpg)




Figure 2: Inhabitants per km² in Innlandet 2019 (Source: Statistics Norway, 2021, <https://kart.ssb.no/>)




Figure 3: Changes in population (%) in municipalities in Innlandet. 1991-2021. (Source: Statistics Norway 2022)

Municipality	Pop. 1991	Pop. 2021	Change pop.	Change %
Rendalen	2496	1741	-755	-30 %
Stor-Elvdal	3337	2378	-959	-29 %
Engerdal	1677	1250	-427	-25 %
Folldal	1989	1518	-471	-24 %
Grue	5774	4545	-1229	-21 %
Etnedal	1565	1257	-308	-20 %
Lesja	2454	1980	-474	-19 %
Våler	4408	3587	-821	-19 %
Dovre	3071	2512	-559	-18 %
Sør-Aurdal	3549	2904	-645	-18 %
Lom	2651	2204	-447	-17 %
Tolga	1877	1563	-314	-17 %
Vestre Slidre	2529	2120	-409	-16 %
Åsnes	8540	7227	-1313	-15 %
Skjåk	2574	2183	-391	-15 %
Ringebu	5182	4408	-774	-15 %
Sel	6381	5592	-789	-12 %
Sør-Fron	3493	3064	-429	-12 %
Vang	1784	1573	-211	-12 %

Søndre Land	6305	5579	-726	-12 %
Trysil	7316	6580	-736	-10 %
Vågå	3945	3564	-381	-10 %
Os	2032	1870	-162	-8 %
Nord-Fron	6167	5705	-462	-7 %
Gausdal	6448	6023	-425	-7 %
Nordre Land	7038	6581	-457	-6 %
Eidskog	6451	6099	-352	-5 %
Nord-Odal	5326	5038	-288	-5 %
Nord-Aurdal	6515	6360	-155	-2 %
Åmot	4422	4338	-84	-2 %
Alvdal	2430	2405	-25	-1 %
Vestre Toten	13358	13459	101	1 %
Kongsvinger	17464	17851	387	2 %
Tynset	5398	5537	139	3 %
Østre Toten	14314	14871	557	4 %
Øystre Slidre	3107	3236	129	4 %
Sør-Odal	7428	7914	486	7 %
Gran	12626	13611	985	8 %
Løten	7045	7625	580	8 %
Øyer	4586	5093	507	11 %
Ringsaker	31399	34897	3498	11 %
Gjøvik	26250	30395	4145	16 %
Stange	17645	21072	3427	19 %
Elverum	17406	21292	3886	22 %
Hamar	25454	31509	6055	24 %
Lillehammer	22889	28493	5604	24 %
Innlandet	356095	370603	14508	4 %

Table 1. Population numbers and change in numbers and %, 1991 – 2021. Municipalities in Innlandet County and Innlandet. Sorted by %-change. (Source: Statistics Norway 2022)

Municipality (name)	Population growth is a goal	Goal of stabilizing pop.	Emphasis on current pop.	Adaption of main services
Eidskog			X	(x)
Grue	X			X
Våler	X			(x)
Trysil	X			X
Stor-Elvdal	X			(x)
Rendalen	X			(x)
Engerdal	X			
Tolga		X		
Folldal	X			(x)
Os	X		(x)	
Dovre	X			X
Lesja		X	(x)	X
Skjåk	X			(x)
Lom	(x)			
Nord-Fron	X			
Sel	X			X
Gausdal			X	
Søndre Land	X			X
Sør-Aurdal			X	X
Vestre Slidre	X			
Nord-Odal	X			X
Åsnes			X	X
Åmot	X			
Alvdal	X			
Vågå		X		X
Sør-Fron				
Ringebu				X
Nordre Land	X			
Etnedal			X	X
Nord-Aurdal				X
Vang	(x)			

Table 2: Long term goals in planning strategies and municipal plans for the thirty-one municipalities in Innlandet